

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,235	03/09/2004	Michael Charles Shelton	71626 US03	2129
7590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER		
Eastman Chemical Company			RAZA, SAIRA B	
P.O. Box 511 Kingsport, TN 37662-5075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	1711
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/796,235	SHELTON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Saira Raza	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) 1-64 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		_					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/796,235

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-46, drawn to a cellulose ester product, classified in class 536, subclass various.
 - II. Claims 47-54 and 59-60, drawn to a coating composition, classified in class 428, subclass 532.
 - III. Claims 55-56, drawn to a pigment dispersion, classified in class 106, subclass 213+.
 - IV. Claims 57-58, drawn to radiation curable coating, classified in class 522, subclass various.
 - V. Claims 61-62, drawn to an ink composition, classified in class 106, subclass 31.13.
 - VI. Claims 63-64, drawn to a waterborne composition, classified in class 524, subclass 37+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II (III, IV, V, VI) are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product (the cellulose ester product) is deemed to be useful as a molding composition or fixotropic agent and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Inventions II to VI are each separate and patentably distinct from each other, because the inventions have different effects or modes of operation. In the instant case, the invention of group

II provides protection to a substrate, the invention of group III provides pigmentation to a substrate, the invention of group IV is activated in the presence of UV light, the invention of group V is dispensed in a controlled manner, and the invention of group V provides protection to a water compatible substrate.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Claims 1-64 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species groups comprising the various materials describing:
 - A) The C_3 - C_4 ester of claims 2-10;
 - B) The acetyl of claims 11-13;
 - C) The hydroxyl of claims 18-19;
 - D) The additive of claims 48 & 51;
 - E) The water-soluble resin of claims 63-64.

The election of an ultimate species for <u>each</u> of the aforementioned groups is requested. For example, applicant can elect claim 2 for group A, claim 11 for group B, claim 18 for group C, tinting pigments for group D, and polyesters for group E.

6. The species are independent or distinct because they represent different embodiments of the invention. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. A telephone call was made not to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the high degree of complexity of the restriction and species election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,235

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Saira Raza whose telephone number is (571) 272-3553. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

Technology Center 1700